Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary 10/673,812 YA Examiner Art

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/673,812	YAMASHITA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTC	personnel):
(1) <u>JERRY DENNISON</u> .	(3)
(2) Yonghong Chen (Reg No 56150).	(4)
Date of Interview: 29 December 2011.	
Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant	applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.
Issues Discussed	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-7</u> .	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreeme reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argun	
Examiner called Applicant's representative in order to consistes with the "means for" limitations with regards to involve made in order to put the case into condition for allowance, formally mailed in a communication.	king 112 6th, and the corrections that would need to be
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to	provide a separate record of the substance of interview.
Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the su the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 71 general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to	
☐ Attachment	
/J Bret Dennison/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2443	
S Patent and Trademark Office	